

**Special Meeting of the Board of Education Meeting
School District of Lodi
Minutes from March 23, 2016**

Public notice of this meeting was posted at School District Office and Website, All School Buildings, the Lodi Enterprise, the Portage Daily Register, Lodi City Hall, Lodi Town Hall, the Dane Village Hall and Dane Township Hall, West Point Town Hall, Vienna Town Hall, Lodi Public Library, and mailed to clerks at Arlington, Dekorra and Roxbury Townships.

Call to Order:

President Miller called the meeting to order noting Public Notice of this meeting was posted at School District Office and website, all school buildings, the Lodi Enterprise, the Portage Daily Register, Lodi City Hall, the Dane Village Hall, and Dane Township Hall, West Point Town Hall, Vienna Town Hall, Lodi Public Library and mailed to the clerks of Arlington, Dekorra and Roxbury Townships.

Time: 6:30 p.m.

Roll Call:

Present: Mrs. Miller, Mr. Beers, Mr. Ricks, Mr. Steinberg
Arriving Late: Ms. Pare at 6:33 pm and Mr. Seidler at 6:36 pm
Absent: Mr. Westphal
Also Present: Mr. Pursell, Mr. Breunig, Mr. Hendrickson, Mr. Prosek, Ms. Endres-Lovell, Ms. Loken, and Mr. Richter

Review of Facility Study Information – Board Facility Review Process:

Mr. Pursell reviewed the timeline:

March 2016: Narrow 11 options down to 4
April 2016: Narrow 4 options to 1 option
May/June 2016: Board of Education Votes on Option
June/August 2016: Board develops resolution/plan
August/September 2016: Board adopts resolution
November 2016: Referendum vote

Mr. Pursell also reviewed some of the background on doing a referendum.

May- August: Community networking

Plunket Raysich Architects – Update:

Mr. Pursell reviewed the new options:

Option H-A Eliminates the Primary and Elementary schools and builds one new school that houses EC, 4K, K and 1st-4th grades.

Option H-B: Eliminates the Primary School and builds a new building to house existing students, including EC, 4K, K and OSC.

Option I: Eliminates the Primary School and reconfigures the Elementary School to house EC, 4K, K, 1st and 2nd grades. Reconfigures the Middle School to house grades 3-5 and OSC. Reconfigures the High School to house grades 6-12.

The cost for repairs in the district are included such as parking lots, tennis courts, roofing, etc.

Work Session – Advisory:

As a group they stated the pros and cons they see in each Option.

Option H-A

Pros:

New building
Middle school security
Cheaper operating cost with only 3 buildings
New gym space
Keeps OSC engaged with K and 4K
High School 9-12
DO centrally located
long term costs less
transportation (?)

Cons:

New gym
lose 3 gym and get 1
traffic
most expensive
whole other class with only one gym, electives, commons
transportation
Is the high school space best utilized?

Option H-B

Pros

HS stays 9-12
OSC stays with 4K and K
MS secure entry
limit number of grades to relocate
Lower cost than H-A
Has 2 more gyms than H-A

Cons:

Still have 50 yr old building
gym shortage
building a new school for only a limited amount of grades
operating expenses for 5 buildings
sizing of the rooms are not adequate at ES
building a new building but HS is still not at capacity

Option I

Pros:

EC w/OSC
adds a gym
expanding the trades/STEAM
costs
could add on to the MS
decreased bus cost

Cons:

Future Grade configuration problems – 4 Sections of mS
OSC not with 4K and K
6-8 in the high school
No room for expansion

limited amount of field space if expand MS (green space)
Traffic flow – Street traffic
Expansion will be used by MS and not being used by HS – not extra value for HS classes
Still have aging ES building
Spending a lot of money to do reconstruction which is most expensive

The advisory committee reviewed all 11 options and wrote down the pros and cons of the latest 3 options. They wrote down any questions they had for any of the options presented. The group came back together to discuss any further questions on options:

All members of the advisory committee then picked their top four options and the top 4 options were then picked for further in depth review and the other 7 were eliminated.

They then asked any critical questions they had for the four remaining options:

Option H-A

Option H-B

Option I

Option G

H-A

There is still room at the MS for 8th grade why move them to the HS?

Tax impact?

Operation cost?

Could we add a second gym to the HS?

Do we have to sell the DO?

ES in another 20 yrs will it be 75 (1959) would you project problem down the road as the primary school?

Student capacity for all the buildings?

How do we build a building to move the 5th grade to another building if needed?

Site for new school?

H-B

Can you add a gym – Means Option G

Does it help to move DO into new building?

DO to HS and move the PS in the DO?

Distribute generation like solar or geothermal?

Educational advantage 3,4,5 OSC students with other 3-5 grade students?

Is class configuration figured out?

Site?

I

Extra sports practice fields at the HS be relocated (extra green space)?

Moving the MS to HS is the gym big enough? Cost to make bigger?

Enough parking?

growth contingency plan?

Is there enough space at the HS for all the specials?

Enough space for future modern education?

Is MS OT/PT space included in these plans?

5th grade placement how would we use those rooms if they weren't there?

Elementary school building age an issue/concern?

Additional technology – phone system/WiFi/technology costs?

G

Is Gym large enough?

Cost for a larger gym?

Contingency plan for reconfiguration if increased enrollment? 5th grade to ES/MS...

For Editing/Approval by BOE on 4/11/16

Next meeting date: Wednesday, April 27, 2016 at 6:30 pm at the Primary/OSC School

Adjourn:

Motion Beers/Ricks to adjourn

Vote: Motion carries 6-0

Time: 8:12 pm